Editorial

The new ATS-ERS-JRS-ALAT Guidelines for diagnosis of Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis (IPF)

Demosthenes Bouros MD, PhD, FERS, FAPSR, FCCP

First Department of Pneumonology, Interstitial Lung Disease Unit, Hospital for Diseases of the Chest 'Sotiria', Athens; Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

Key words:

- Guidelines - Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis - IPF

Correspondence: Prof. Demosthenes Bouros

Hospital for Chest Diseases 'Sotiria', 152 Messogion Avenue, Athens 11527, Greece E-mail: debouros@med.uoa.gr, debouros@gmail.com Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis (IPF) is the most frequent idiopathic interstitial "pneumonia"¹⁻³ and one of the almost 500 interstitial lung diseases. IPF is a specific form of chronic, progressive fibrosing interstitial pneumonia of unknown cause occurring in adults. Radiologic and/or histopathologic patterns are consistent with usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP)⁴⁻⁶.

The diagnosis of IPF is a difficult and dynamic one. According to existing guidelines¹ it is based on the exclusion of known causes of interstitial lung disease and the presence of a UIP pattern on high resolution computed tomography (HRCT) or the presence of a definite or possible UIP pattern on HRCT with a surgical lung biopsy showing definite or possible UIP pattern. In this way a number of combinations arise (Table 1) making the diagnosis questionable in certain cases, making necessary the reference of the case to a specialized center where a multidisciplinary discussion (MDD) will decide if the data are enough to diagnose IPF or the case remain unclassifiable⁵⁻⁷. Under these circumstances the diagnosis in many cases can remain unclassifiable and clarification of diagnostic interventions as defined in the 2011 guidelines is the subject of the ongoing development of new diagnostic guidelines under the auspices of the American Thoracic Society, the European

TABLE 1. Table showing the various diagnostic combinations according to radiologic (HRCT) and histologic pattern. A number of combinations need further evaluation for their diagnostic accuracy.

Diagnosis of IPF by Lung Biopsy

	histopathologic Pattern						
ttern		UIP	Probable UIP	Possible UIP	Not UIP	Not performed	
Radiologic Pa	UIP	IPF	IPF	IPF	Not IPF	IPF	
	Possible UIP	IPF	IPF	+/- IPF	Not IPF	Not IPF	
	Inconsistent with UIP	+/- IPF	Not IPF	Not IPF	Not IPF	Not IPF	

Histopathologic Pattern

Raghu G, et al. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2011;183:788-824.

Respiratory Society, the Japan Respiratory society and the Latin American Thoracic Society (ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT).

The chair of the committee is Ganesh Raghu (USA) and co-chairs Martine Remy-Jardine (EU), Jeff Myers (USA) and Luca Richeldi (EU). Pulmonologists are 18, radiologists 5 and pathologists 4 (Table 2). A number of specific questions are to be addressed utilizing full guideline methodology including PICO questions, systematic reviews, and the GRADE approach including but not limited to:

- 1. Genetic testing
- 2. Specific biomarkers
- 3. Volumetric HRCT.

TABLE 2. Members of the new diagnostic guidelines committee.

CHAIR: Ganesh Raghu (USA)					
CO-CHAIRS: Martine Remy-Jardine (EU); Jeff Myers (USA); Luca Richeldi (EU)					
METHODOLOGIST/ PROJECT MANAGER: Kevin Wilson (USA)					
PROJECT COORDINATOR: Kimberly Lawrence (USA)					
Members:					
NORTH AMERICA (ATS):					

Name	Area of Expertise	Location				
Jeff Myers	Pathologist	Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA				
Fernando Martinez	Pulmonologist	New York, NY, USA				
Harold Collard	Pulmonologist	San Francisco, CA, USA				
David Lederer	Pulmonologist	New York, NY, USA				
Sonye Danoff	Pulmonologist	Bethesda, Maryland, USA				
Sudhakar Pipavath	Radiologist	Seattle, Washington DC, USA				
Kevin Brown	Pulmonologist	Denver, Colorado, USA				
Ella Kazerooni	Radiologist	Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA				
William Travis	Pathologist	New York, NY, USA				
Kevin Flaherty	Pulmonologist	Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA				
Chris Ryerson	Pulmonologist	Vancouver, BC, CANADA				
EUROPE (ERS):						
Martine Remy-Jardine	Radiologist	Lylle, FRANCE				
Luca Richeldi	Pulmonologist	Rome, ITALY				
Simon Walsh	Radiologist	London, UK				
Andrew Nicholson	Pathologist	London, UK				
Athol Wells	Pulmonologist	London, UK				
Gisli Jenkins	Pulmonologist	Nottingham, UK				
Juergen Behr	Pulmonologist	Munich, GERMANY				
Vincent Cottin	Pulmonologist	Paris, FRANCE				
Ferran Morell	Pulmonologist	Barcelona, SPAIN				
Demosthenes Bouros	Pulmonologist	Athens, GREECE				
MEXICO:						
Moises Selman Pulmonologist/expertise in ge		enetic markers				
JAPAN:						
Takeshi Johkow	Radiologist					
Yoshikazu Inoue-Gichi	Pulmonologist					
Azuma Arata	Pulmonologist					
Masanori Kitaichi	Pathologist					

Furthermore, interesting questions to be discussed are the following:

Should patients with newly detected ILD who are clinically suspected of having IPF and have a HRCT scan pattern consistent with probable or possible UIP undergo?

- 1. Transbronchial biopsy
- 2. Bronchoalveolar lavage
- 3. Surgical lung biopsy
- 4. Surgical lung biopsy more than one wedge lung biopsy from different parts of the same lung.
- 5. Multidisciplinary decision
- 6. Lung cryobiopsy
- 7. Lung tissue analyzed by molecular techniques.

Other significant questions for decisions are the following:

- Should patients with newly detected ILD who are clinically suspected of having IPF but have the combination of: a) unclassifiable histopathology and b) a HRCT pattern of possible UIP or inconsistent with UIP be diagnosed with IPF?
- 2. Should patients with newly detected ILD who are clinically suspected of having IPF but have honeycomb cysts in the upper lobe on HRCT without air trapping be diagnosed with IPF?
- 3. Should we abandon the term 'idiopathic' as a prefix for pulmonary fibrosis?
- 4. In the absence of any clinical features of connective tissue disease how useful is serology especially in the elderly population?
- 5. How does the presence or absence of mutations affect

our interaction with the patient and more importantly, should the recommendation be in favor of testing, how do we advise relatives about a 'positive' result – should they be tested and if so when and what should they do in the future?

These much needed updated guidelines are expected to elucidate many unresolved aspects of this devastating disease.

REFERENCES

- Raghu G, Collard HR, Egan JJ, et al; ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT Committee on Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis. An official ATS/ERS/ JRS/ALAT statement: idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: evidencebased guidelines for diagnosis and management. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2011; 183:788–824.
- Travis WD, Costabel U, Hansell DM, et al. ATS/ERS Committee on Idiopathic Interstitial Pneumonias. An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: Update of the international multidisciplinary classification of the idiopathic interstitial pneumonias. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013;188:733-48.
- 3. Bouros D. Idiopathic interstitial pneumonias: Classification revision. Pneumon 2010, 23:359-62.
- 4. Tzilas V, Bouros D. Usual interstitial pneumonia pattern in the diagnosis of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis? Lancet Respir Med 2016;4:770-72.
- Tzouvelekis A, Tzilas V, Papiris S, Aidinis V, Bouros D. Diagnostic and prognostic challenges in Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis: A patient's "Q and A" approach. Pulm Pharmacol Ther 2017;42:21-4.
- Tzouvelekis A, Herazo-Maya J, Sakamoto K, Bouros D. Biomarkers in the Evaluation and Management of Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis. Curr Top Med Chem 2016;16:1587-98.
- 7. Bouros D, Tzouvelekis A. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: on the move. Lancet Respir Med 2014;2:17-9.